

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	O. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/825,448 04/02/2001		04/02/2001	Richard D. Cramer	3017-40	1816	
22448	7590	04/03/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LAURENCE A WEINBERGER				CHERRY, S	CHERRY, STEPHEN J	
882 S. MAT	LACK ST					
SUITE 103				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WEST CHESTER, PA 19382				2863	···· -	

DATE MAILED: 04/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/825,448	CRAMER ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Stephen J. Cherry	2863						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 No.	ovember 2005.							
,								
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice under E								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-7</u> is/are allowed.		,						
6)⊠ ·Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	·						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>4-2-2001</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes a	ccepted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct								
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some ⋅ c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in	Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have bee	n received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.						
	•							
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by International Application Publication Number WO 99/44055 to Nicholls.

With regard to claim 1 Nicholls discloses a computer implemented method to search a heterogeneous compound database composed of molecules from different sources and syntheses, some known and some unknown, for molecules which have the same biological activity as a known query molecule (see abstract) comprising the steps of fragmenting a query molecule and a database molecule according to a defined set of rules (see page 10 line 25), generating shape descriptors for the query molecule and database molecule fragments (see page 22 line 10-19), and using the shape descriptors identifying the database molecule which has a shape similar to the query molecule (see page 25 line 5-26).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-7 are allowed.

The reason for allowance is as stated in the office action dated 10-6-2004.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11-22-2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument regarding claim 1 that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., matching a "whole" query molecule) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Although applicant argues that an accepted meaning of the word query molecule is query molecule, the term "whole" query molecule does not appear in the claim. If applicant wishes to limit the scope of the claim to include only whole database molecule and whole query molecule, this feature should be explicitly recited in the claim.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Cherry whose telephone number is (571) 272-2272. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SJC

MICHAEL NGHIEM
PRIMARY EXAMINER